American Red Cross		Disaster Cycle Services				
		Disaster Response Evaluation Scorecard				
As of March 22, 2019		Hurricane Florence Scorecard				
Disaster Cycle Services Goal	Measure	Metric	Hurricane Florence			
		# of overnight stays in Red Cross shelters	122,534			
		# of families served through casework and recovery planning	more than 3,600			
		# of homes destroyed or with major damage	11,165			
1. Delivery Services to Meet Community Needs	Service Delivery	# of meals and snacks served	1,629,167			
		# of households served through distribution of emergency supplies	35,798			
		# of Total Staff	more than 7,200			
		# of Deployed Staff (T&M)	4,595			
Disaster Cycle Services Goal	Measure	Metric	Hurricane Florence	Target		
2. Provide Services Efficiently	Local Volunteers	% of local disaster volunteers participating during response	40%	25%		
	Event-Based Volunteers	% of event based volunteers participating during response	18%	10%		
	Volunteer : Employee Ratio	% of volunteers deployed vs. paid staff	88%	90%		
		Meets Baseline				
		Within 10% of Baseline				
		More than 10% Below Baseline				

Part Exper 3. Serve Constituents Effectively	9 sure tituent faction	Metric Metric		Target 81% 75% <8%
Disaster Cycle Services Goal Const Satisf Part Exper 3. Serve Constituents Effectively Super	sure tituent faction	Metric % of people helped through casework reporting top two of five ratings on overall Red Cross experience % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five	Hurricane Florence 91% 67%	81% 75%
Services Goal Const Satisf Part Exper	tituent faction	% of people helped through casework reporting top two of five ratings on overall Red Cross experience % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five	91% 67% 33%	81% 75%
Part Exper 3. Serve Constituents Effectively	tner	ratings on overall Red Cross experience % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five	67% 33%	75%
3. Serve Constituents Effectively		satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five	33%	
3. Serve Constituents Effectively		five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five		<8%
3. Serve Constituents Effectively	rience	· ·	100%	
3. Serve Constituents Effectively				75%
3. Serve Constituents Effectively		% of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross	0%	<8%
	rvision	% of WORKERS reporting top two of five ratings of supervisor's effectiveness	67%	75%
Service	Services Tools	% of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate TECHNICAL SUPPORT	69%	- 75%
Service		% of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate FACILITIES	81%	
		% of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate VEHICLES	89%	
		% of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate EQUIPMENT & SUPPLIES	68%	
Volunte	Volunteer and Staff Experience	% of Workers reporting TOP two of five ratings on overall satisfaction with their experience.	76%	75%
Staff Exp		% of Workers reporting BOTTOM two of five ratings on overall satisfaction with their experience	16%	<21%
No	ites		1453 client responses 2665 worker responses 8 government response 12 NGO responses	
		Meets Baseline		
		Within 10% of Baseline		