| American Red Cross | | Disaster Cycle Services | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------|--|
| | | Evaluation Scorecard | | | |
| | | HUR Matthew (Oct Dec. 2016) | As of 5/19/2017 | | |
| Disaster Cycle Services Goal | Measure | Metric | Actual HUR Matthew | | |
| 1. Services Delivered | Service Delivery | # of overnight stays in shelters | 100,678 | | |
| | | # of families served through casework and recovery planning | 10,787 | | |
| | | # of homes destroyed or with major damage | 8,132 | | |
| | | # of meals and snack served | 1,463,918 | | |
| | | # of relief items distributed | 460,775 | | |
| | | # of Total Staff | 7,519 | | |
| | | # of Deployed Staff (T&M) | 3,690 | | |
| Disaster Cycle Services Goal | Measure | Metric | Actual HUR Matthew | Target | |
| 2. Provide Services Efficiently | Local Volunteers | % of local disaster volunteers participating during response | 46% | 25% | |
| | Event-Based Volunteers | % of event based volunteers participating during response | 7% | 10% | |
| | Volunteer : Employee Ratio | % of volunteers deployed vs. paid staff | 91% | 90% | |
| | Notes | 1. Data is as of 05/19/2017 2. Received 2132 worker responses (all state total) thus far that contributed to Supervision, Indirect Service, Support and Work Experience actuals. | | | |
| | | Meets Baseline | | | |
| | | Within 10% of Baseline | | | |
| | | More than 10% Below Baseline | | | |

| American Red Cross | | Disaster Cycle Services | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------|--|
| | | Evaluation Scorecard | | | |
| | | HUR Matthew (Oct Dec. 2016) | As of 5/19/2017 | | |
| Disaster Cycle Services Goal | Measure | Metric | Actual HUR Matthew | Target | |
| 3. Serve Constituents Effectively | Constituent Satisfaction | % of people helped through casework reporting top two of five ratings on overall Red Cross experience and being treated with kindness, fairness, and respect | 85% | 81% | |
| | Partner Experience | % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross | 100% | 75% | |
| | | % of GOVERNMENT PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross | 0% | <8% | |
| | | % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating TOP two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross | 100% | 75% | |
| | | % of COMMUNITY PARTNERS indicating BOTTOM two of five satisfaction ratings of the Red Cross | 0% | <8% | |
| | Supervision | % of WORKERS reporting top two of five ratings of supervisor's effectiveness | 69% | 75% | |
| | Services Tools | % of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 72% | 75% | |
| | | % of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate FACILITIES | 86% | | |
| | | % of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate VEHICLES | 85% | | |
| | | % of workers reporting top two of five ratings that they had adequate EQUIPMENT & SUPPLIES | 71% | | |
| | Volunteer and | % of Workers reporting TOP two of five ratings on overall satisfaction with their experience. | 77% | 75% | |
| | Staff Experience | % of Workers reporting <i>BOTTOM</i> two of five ratings on overall satisfaction with their experience and a sense of accomplishment | 17% | 21% | |
| | Notes | 1. Data is as of 05/19/2017 2. Received 2132 worker responses (all state total) thus far that contributed to Supervision, Indirect Service, Support and Work Experience actuals. | | | |
| | | Meets Baseline | | | |
| | | Within 10% of Baseline More than 10% Below Baseline | | | |